

SOUTHEAST METRO STORMWATER AUTHORITY (SEMSWA) FLOODPLAIN MODIFICATION STUDY & CONDITIONAL LETTER OF MAP REVISION REPORT REQUIREMENTS CHECKLIST

This checklist is to be used for all Floodplain Modification Study (FMS) and Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) submittals in SEMSWA's jurisdiction. Refer to separate checklists for Drainage Reports (Phase I, II, and III), Letter of Map Revision (LOMR), and As-Built submittals. This checklist provides a detailed outline of the information required for FMS and CLOMR submittals; only submit information from each section as applicable to your project. If you have any questions about the requirements listed, or specific to your project, please contact SEMSWA.

SEMSWA Cas Number:			city/County Case Number:
	Case Name:		Submittal Date:
			SUBMITTALS
			SUBIVITIALS
Sub app pro	mittal f roval (vide th	Require (i.e., wl ne as-b	electronic submittals during the review process, as outlined in their Electronic (paperless) Review ements (available upon request). For a CLOMR, provide all revisions made subsequent to SEMSWA then additional data has been submitted in response to an AD letter from FEMA). For a FMS, uilt floodplain delineations and hydraulic models after construction is completed to supplement d Area Delineation (FHAD).
A.	Draft	t Sub	mittal
Ye s	No	N/A	Requirement
			 Include MT-2 application forms for a CLOMR for projects that cause impacts in FEMA floodplains. (See Note 3 at the end of this checklist for more information on impacts; see Section L. Appendices for MT-2 form details.)
			2. Include the SEMSWA Application for Review (<u>SEMSWA_ApplicationforReview.pdf</u>)
			3. Include the SEMSWA Review Fee (http://www.semswa.org/review-permit-fees.aspx)
			4. Submit all files on CD/DVD, thumb drive, or through a file-sharing website.
			5. For CLOMRs, the draft may be submitted with or without ESA compliance information (See Section L. Appendices, Item 3.)
В.	Final	FMS	Submittal
			All submittal requirements listed in the Floodplain Modification Memorandum of Approval that SEMSWA sends out when the draft submittal is ready for approval.
			2. Include the SEMSWA Application for Review (SEMSWA_ApplicationforReview.pdf)
			3. Submit all files on CD/DVD, thumb drive, or through a file-sharing website.
C.	Fina	CLO	MR Submittal
			All submittal requirements listed in the Floodplain Modification Memorandum of Approval that SEMSWA sends out when the draft submittal is ready for approval.
			2 Include the SEMSWA Application for Review (SEMSWA ApplicationforReview.pdf)

Southeast Metro Tormwater Authority Se Number:

FMS & CLOMR REPORT CHECKLIST

			3. Include finalized MT-2 application forms signed and stamped by the Engineer of Record and the Requestor. The final submittal must include a copy of Form 1 signed by each affected community. (See Section L. Appendices for MT-2 form details.)
			4. Must include ESA compliance information (See Section L. Appendices, Item 3.)
			5. Submit all files on CD/DVD, thumb drive, or through a file-sharing website.
			OMR Submittal – FEMA/UDFCD (Consultant may submit online to FEMA or electronically to UDFCD; see equirements at: LOMC_Submittals_Update-06-17-2015.pdf)
			Consultant to submit FEMA review fee, except for CIP projects. (Verify the correct fee on FEMA's website at: https://www.fema.gov/flood-map-related-fees . Obtain fee from project owner/developer.) For CIP projects, the fee may come from SEMSWA, City, County, or be paid by consultant as negotiated in the approved scope of work for the project. Verify the requirement with SEMSWA.
			REPORT REQUIREMENTS
A.	Title	Page	e
Ye s	No	N/A	Requirement
			Name of project and location
			Property owner and property jurisdiction
			Developer/project owner
			4. Engineer of Record
			Submittal date and revision dates, as applicable
В.	Cert	ificat	ion Statement
			Include a Certification Statement page, signed and stamped by the responsible Professional Engineer, registered in the State of Colorado. (Template at Engineers Floodplain Certification Statement)
C.	Proj	ect Ir	nformation
1. F	Projec	t Desc	ription
			A. Describe the purpose of the request
			B. List project stakeholders and/or requestors
			C. Affected jurisdictions and districts

SEMSWA (Rev. 4, April 2018)

Provide a detailed description of the project site, including major/minor drainageways and any

Provide a detailed description of the elements of the proposed project (e.g., fill, channelization, structures, bank stabilization, etc.)

D. Background and previous projects

known flooding history

E.

F.



			G Include a project vicinity/location map
			H. Describe special requirements or considerations pertinent to the project
2. FI	oodpl	ain	
			Unmapped Floodplain – Summarize resources and methodology used for delineation of the floodplain.
			B. Mapped Floodplain – Discuss the source of the floodplain information and level of detail:
			- UDFCD Flood Hazard Area Delineation (FHAD) Report, Development Plan, etc. AND/OR
			- Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM)
			C. Summarize proposed floodplain modifications, including level of encroachment, velocities, depths, stabilization measures, water surface elevations, etc.
3. St	udy L	imits	
			Upstream and downstream tie-in locations
D. A	nalys	is Crit	teria
1. R	egulat	ions	
			A. County and/or City criteria, when applicable
			- Floodplain Development Permit requirements
			- Floodplain Modification Study requirements
			- Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) requirements
			B. UDFCD criteria and optional provisions, when applicable
			C. CWCB criteria, when applicable
			D. FEMA criteria, when applicable
			E. Other criteria (e.g., CDOT, etc.)
2. Dı	ainag	e Stud	ies, Master Plans, Site Constraints
			A. Discuss previous drainage studies or master plans for the site or project that influence the site design and/or floodplain analysis.
			B. Discuss floodplain impacts from adjacent developments and the effects on the project design and/or floodplain analysis.
Yes	No	N/A	Requirement
			C. Discuss UDFCD Outfall Systems Plans, Master Drainageway Plans, and/or Stabilization Plans and how recommendations in those studies affect the site design and/or floodplain analysis.
			D. Discuss impacts to the project and the floodplain analysis caused by constraints such as streets, utilities, light rail rapid transit, existing structures, existing canals/ditches, etc.
3. Ad	dditior	nal Per	mitting Requirements
			A. Compliance with Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (e.g., USACE 404 Permit)



	B. Grading, Erosion, and Sediment Control (GESC)
	C. UDFCD Maintenance Eligibility Review
	D. Compliance with the Endangered Species Act (See Section L. Appendices, Item 3 for more
	E. Other local, state, or federal requirements, including but not limited to:
	- South Suburban Parks and Recreation District
	- State Dewatering Permit
	- Right of Way Permit
E. Topogra	phic Mapping Discussion
1. Existing Da	ata
	A. Discuss source
	B. Discuss contour interval
	C. Describe any benchmarks used for survey
	D. Discuss vertical and horizontal datum (All mapping and elevations must be reported in NAVD88 and NAD83. If the original source data are not in these datums, they must be converted. See Item E.3 for reporting of vertical datum conversion, if needed.)
	E. Discuss coordinate projection system (e.g., State Plane, UTM, Modified State Plane, etc.)
	F. If a modified, truncated, or local projection system is used, discuss the source of the projection system
	G Provide equation(s) for conversion to SEMSWA's standard projection (NAD_1983_StatePlane_Colorado_Central_FIPS_ 0502_Feet), as needed
2. Proposed 1	Topography/Grading
	Discuss any differences from existing data listed above.
3. Vertical Da	tum Conversion, as applicable
	A. Discuss source (Only Vertcon or Corpscon are accepted.)
	B. Provide equation defining conversion (e.g., NGVD29 + X.XX = NAVD88.)
F. Hydrolog	у — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
1. FEMA FIS I	Flows (if using FEMA flows)
	A. Discuss source of flows from FIS report
	B. Provide table summarizing FEMA FIS flows
2. Peak Flows	(from UDFCD MDP, FHAD, or Outfall Systems Plan)
	A. Discuss source of peak flows used
	B. Design storm recurrence intervals



			A. On-site and off-site major drainage basin characteristics and flow patterns and paths
			B. Existing and proposed land uses within the basins
			C. Calculation method(s), including version/build (e.g., CUHP 2005, EPASWMM 5.0 Build 5.0.022, HEC HMS 3.5)
			D. Design rainfall
			E. Input parameters
			F. Provide summary output table
). I	Hydr	aulic	s
. An	alysis	Metho	od
			A. Describe analysis method used, including version (e.g., HEC-RAS 5.0.3)
'es	No	N/A	Requirement
			B. Discuss specific application of method for study (e.g., steady-state, subcritical flow)
			C. Describe models submitted:
			- Duplicate Effective (required)
			- Corrected Effective, as applicable
			- Existing Conditions, as applicable
			- Proposed Conditions (required)
. In	out Pa	ramete	rs
			A. Discuss boundary conditions
			B. Discuss Manning's roughness coefficients (n values)
			C. Discuss contraction/expansion coefficients
			 D. Discuss structures (bridges/culverts, weirs, etc.) and flow controls (ineffective flow areas, levee points, etc.)
			E. Discuss any elements within the models that may vary from standard modeling practices (e.g., flow regime, coefficients, bridge modeling methods, etc.) and explain why the variation is appropriate.
			F. Provide a table correlating stream stationing and cross section ID, as applicable. (If cross section ID is not based on stream stationing, or if stationing is not consistent between submitted models (e.g., for channel modification project), correlate the cross section ID and/or stream stationing between all models submitted, and if necessary, the effective floodplain mapping.)
			way Analysis, as applicable (If there is an existing floodway determined, a floodway model must be submitted hows the floodway to be coincident with the 100-year floodplain).
			A. Discuss encroachment method(s) used



			В.	Discuss specific application of method for study
			A.	Describe analysis method used, including version (e.g., HEC-RAS 5.0.3)
1. An	alysis	Metho	d	
		ment olicable.		ansport & Fluvial Morphology (Only if applicable. CLOMRs require an explanation as to
				- Hydraulic Depth (Channel)
				- Velocity
				- Floodplain top width
				- Water surface elevations
			C.	Provide an overall comparison table. (Include quantitative comparisons of the following data from each submitted model. Include all cross section locations from each submitted analysis. Interpolate as needed to provide comparison values at every location. Indicate interpolated values with shading, bold text, or some other means. See template at Hydraulic Comparison Table .)
				- 0.5-Foot Floodway analysis, as applicable
				- Multiple Profile analysis (10-, 50-, 100-, & 500-year events, i.e., the same profiles as shown in the effective FIS)
				- 100- and 500-year analyses (required at a minimum)
			В.	Provide summary output tables for each submitted model, including:
			A.	Discuss floodplain impacts from the proposed project (compared to effective and existing conditions)
4. Re	sults			
				- Computed surcharge
				- Velocity
				- Floodway top width (Calculate from floodway encroachment stations; do not use HEC-RAS output.) - Flow area
				- Water surface elevations
			D.	Provide a Floodway Data Table summarizing floodway data by cross section, including:
			C.	Describe any variances from surcharge criteria (0.50 ft. ≥ surcharge criteria ≥ 0.00 ft.). Address any negative surcharges, etc.
				floodway encroachment analysis.
			В.	Describe any modifications to the floodway encroachment analysis as compared to the effective



	- Proposed Conditions
2. Input	Parameters
	A. Discuss analysis inputs
	B. Provide a table summarizing input data
3. Resu	Its
	A. Discuss impacts due to proposed project (compared to existing conditions)
	B. Provide summary output tables for each submitted model
I. Floo	odplain Mapping
1. Exist	ing Conditions Mapping
	A. Describe method used to delineate floodplain and/or floodway boundaries
	B. Describe any changes in floodplain and/or floodway boundaries shown on the map as compared to effective and corrected effective boundaries, as applicable
2. Prop	osed Conditions Mapping
	A. Describe method used to delineate floodplain and/or floodway boundaries, if different from method used for Existing Conditions
	B. Describe any changes in floodplain and/or floodway boundaries shown on the map as compared to existing conditions and effective boundaries, as applicable
J. Cor	nclusions
1. Com	pliance with Standards
	A. Arapahoe County and/or City of Centennial criteria
	B. UDFCD criteria
	C. CWCB criteria, as applicable
	D. NFIP Regulatory Requirements (See details on MT-2 Form 2)
	- Compliance with Section 65.12 of the NFIP regulations
	- Certification re: local ordinances and reasonably safe from flooding
	- Potential for impact on endangered species
	E. Master Drainage Plans
2. Varia	nces to Floodplain Criteria, if applicable
	A. Identify provisions by City or County code section number for which a variance will be requested or has been approved by SEMSWA, or the City or County
	B. Provide justification for each variance requested
3. Impa	cts to Adjacent Properties

Southeast Metro formwater Authority se Number:

FMS & CLOMR REPORT CHECKLIST

O/LIVOVIA	Joe Mc	
	A	Discuss any potential impacts to adjacent properties (For CLOMRs, impacts are determined by comparing the Proposed Conditions model with the Existing Conditions model. See Note 3 for definition of "impact." However, property owner notification letters must include information regarding impacts vs. both existing conditions and effective models.)
	В	. Discuss measures proposed to mitigate potential impacts, if applicable
	С	For CLOMRs, discuss impact notification requirements and compliance therewith (See Section L. Item 2.D.)
K. Refere	nces	
		nclude reference citations for all criteria, master drainage plans, reports, or other technical information used in development of the concepts discussed in the study report
L. Append	dices (Include a descriptive file name on all model files, and include a description within the model files.)
1. Appendix	A – Pho	tos
	Р	roject Area Photos

2. Appendix B - FEMA MT-2 Application Forms, as applicable (also refer to the FEMA MT-2 instructions)

Yes	No	N/A	Requirement
			A. Provide a copy of Form 1 for every jurisdiction impacted by the change in flood hazard, including all impacted jurisdictions outside of SEMSWA's boundary, such as Aurora, Denver, and/or Douglas County. (Every impacted community needs to sign a copy of Form 1. Requestor and Engineer of Record signatures should be completed. The Requestor should be the primary point-of-contact for the project who receives project correspondence. This can be the project owner, developer, consultant, etc. This may be the SEMSWA Project Manager for SEMSWA CIP projects.)
			B. Complete Section D (Common Regulatory Requirements) of Form 2.
			C. Forms 3-6, as applicable. (Provide only those forms that apply to the project. For Form 3, only provide those pages of the form that are applicable to the project, e.g., there is no need to submit the levee forms if the project does not include levees. If the project includes a dam/detention basin, include a copy of the Operation and Maintenance Plan. Refer to the FEMA Instructions for MT-2 Forms for more information.)
			D. Copies of Impact Notification Letters sent to each property owner impacted by the modified floodplain/floodway or a sample letter and a list of affected property owners, listed by parcel number. (See the MT-2 Instructions for letter requirements and templates. SEMSWA prefers not to provide notification through newspaper notices. SEMSWA prefers that each property owner be provided individual notification for all impacts (i.e., both floodplain and floodway) in a single letter. Include a property-specific map showing the impacts. Submit the letters to SEMSWA for review with draft submittal. For CIP projects, the consultant will prepare the letters for signature by the SEMSWA Floodplain Manager, and SEMSWA will send via certified mail. For non-CIP projects, the consultant or project owner should sign and mail the letters, and SEMSWA must be shown as copied on the correspondence. Include digital copies (PDFs) of the executed letters in the final submittal to FEMA/UDFCD.)
			E. Certification of Impact Notification. (The consultant's responsible professional engineer must provide certification that all impacted property owners have been individually notified of the impacts to their properties. For projects in the FEMA regulatory floodway, this certification will be signed by SEMSWA and must include certification that no structures are impacted.)
Comp	<u>liance f</u>	or Conc	Endangered Species Act (ESA) Compliance for CLOMRs (See Endangered Species Act (ESA) ditional Letters of Map Change and FEMA Guidance on documentation at: ESA_Guidance_May_2016.pdf. Submit

SEMSWA (Rev. 4, April 2018) Page 8

For projects that involve federal construction, funding, or permitting (e.g., a USACE 404 permit):

A "No Effect" determination made by, or concurred by, USFWS or USACE

one of the following documents, as applicable. Also include materials submitted to USACE/USFWS.)



			 A "Not Likely to Adversely Affect" determination with concurrence from USFWS
			 A biological opinion with a "no jeopardy" determination or with accepted reasonable and prudent alternatives
			 A copy of a federally issued permit (e.g., USACE 404 permit) with justification that the proposed development for which a CLOMR is sought is covered by the permit
			B. For projects that do not involve any federal agencies, the requester must document that:
			 No potential for "Take" exists to threatened and endangered species. The requester will be responsible for the potential for take determination and the determination is not required to come from, or be concurred by, USFWS
			 If the requester determines a "Take" will or has potential to occur, they can contact USFWS to discuss potential project revisions to eliminate the "Take"
			 If neither of the above are possible and the project has the potential to "Take" listed species, an Incidental Take Permit may be submitted showing the project is the subject or is covered by the subject, of the permit
1. Ap	pendi	x D – E	ffective Floodplain Information
			A. Effective Map (FIRM or FHAD)
			B. FIS Report information, as applicable
			- Summary of Discharges Table
			- Floodway Data Table
			- Profile
source	e, etc.)	OR Hyd	lydrologic Reference Data (if using published flows from a FHAD or Master Drainage Plan, or other non-FIS drologic Computations (if submitting new analysis) (Include a descriptive file name on all model files, and
	0 4 400	•	within the model files.)
	o u uoo	·	A. If using published flows from a FHAD, Master Drainage Plan, etc., submit the following:
⁄es	No	N/A	
⁄es		·	A. If using published flows from a FHAD, Master Drainage Plan, etc., submit the following:
⁄es		·	A. If using published flows from a FHAD, Master Drainage Plan, etc., submit the following: Requirement
/es		·	A. If using published flows from a FHAD, Master Drainage Plan, etc., submit the following: Requirement - Copies of the applicable tables, graphs, and profiles.
Yes		·	A. If using published flows from a FHAD, Master Drainage Plan, etc., submit the following: Requirement - Copies of the applicable tables, graphs, and profiles. - Electronic copies of the applicable analyses (CUHP, SWMM, etc.)
Yes		·	A. If using published flows from a FHAD, Master Drainage Plan, etc., submit the following: Requirement - Copies of the applicable tables, graphs, and profiles. - Electronic copies of the applicable analyses (CUHP, SWMM, etc.) B. If submitting new analysis, provide the following:
f es		·	A. If using published flows from a FHAD, Master Drainage Plan, etc., submit the following: Requirement - Copies of the applicable tables, graphs, and profiles. - Electronic copies of the applicable analyses (CUHP, SWMM, etc.) B. If submitting new analysis, provide the following: - Determination of runoff coefficients and times of concentration
Yes		·	A. If using published flows from a FHAD, Master Drainage Plan, etc., submit the following: Requirement - Copies of the applicable tables, graphs, and profiles. - Electronic copies of the applicable analyses (CUHP, SWMM, etc.) B. If submitting new analysis, provide the following: - Determination of runoff coefficients and times of concentration - Land use assumptions for off-site areas
res		·	A. If using published flows from a FHAD, Master Drainage Plan, etc., submit the following: Requirement - Copies of the applicable tables, graphs, and profiles. - Electronic copies of the applicable analyses (CUHP, SWMM, etc.) B. If submitting new analysis, provide the following: - Determination of runoff coefficients and times of concentration - Land use assumptions for off-site areas - Other input parameter determinations (curve numbers, routing elements, etc.)
/es		·	A. If using published flows from a FHAD, Master Drainage Plan, etc., submit the following: Requirement - Copies of the applicable tables, graphs, and profiles. - Electronic copies of the applicable analyses (CUHP, SWMM, etc.) B. If submitting new analysis, provide the following: - Determination of runoff coefficients and times of concentration - Land use assumptions for off-site areas - Other input parameter determinations (curve numbers, routing elements, etc.) - Peak flow rate calculations

Southeast Metro formwater Authority se Number:

FMS & CLOMR REPORT CHECKLIST

	- Hydrograph data, if applicable
	 Connectivity diagram showing relationship/connectivity of basins, conveyance facilities, detention ponds, and design points
	- Basin Map, including design point summary
6. Appendi z model files, ar	F – Hydraulic Computations (Provide information for all models submitted. Include a descriptive file name on all and include a description within the model files.)
	A. Manning's n determination, if applicable
	B. Executable electronic files for all submitted models
	C. Cross section and profile outputs (graphs), with water surface elevations, for all submitted
7. Appendi	K G – Plans & Work Maps (See Exhibits Checklist for details.)
	A. Design and grading plans for proposed project
	B. Overall drainage plan, as applicable
	C. Comparison work map, showing effective, existing, and proposed conditions (Required)
	D. Existing Conditions Floodplain work map (If needed to provide a clear understanding of impacts, e.g., when there are Effective, Corrected Effective, and Existing Conditions models.)
	E. Provide completed mapping agreement table for each map submitted. (See sample table in UDFC DLOMC Guidelines, and find Excel templates at http://udfcd.org/services/floodplain-mapping/)
	F. Water surface elevation profiles for all submitted models
	G Annotated FIRM
	H. Annotated Profile
	I. Annotated Floodway Data Table
8. Appendi	к H – Referenced Information
	Copies of pertinent portions of all referenced materials, if not already included in the appendices.

Notes:

- 1. Floodplain Modification Study reports may be stand-alone documents, or they may be incorporated into other submittals, such as Drainage and/or Design Reports.
- 2. SEMSWA, the City, and County require a CLOMR submittal for any project that impacts a FEMA-designated floodplain (Special Flood Hazard Area) unless it can be demonstrated, through technical analysis, that there is no impact to the floodplain from the proposed project. (See SEMSWA Manual and County Manual)
- 3. An impact to the floodplain is defined as:
 - a. An increase in the 100-year water surface elevation (BFE). An increase in BFE is <u>any</u> increase (i.e., any rise over 0.00 ft.).
 - b. An increase in width and/or shift of the floodplain boundary.
- 4. Decreases in BFE are considered impacts if they are equal to or greater than 0.3 ft., as provided for in the Colorado State Floodplain Regulations.
- 5. There is no distinction in the level of analysis and/or submittal requirements for projects that are proposed in:
 - a. A floodplain with no defined floodway
 - b. A floodplain with a defined floodway
 - c. The floodplain fringe
 - d. Unmapped floodplains





SEMSWA Case

SOUTHEAST METRO STORMWATER AUTHORITY (SEMSWA) FLOODPLAIN MODIFICATION STUDY & CONDITIONAL LETTER OF MAP REVISION EXHIBIT REQUIREMENTS CHECKLIST

This checklist is to be used to prepare exhibits for all Floodplain Modification Study (FMS) and Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) submittals in SEMSWA's jurisdiction. Refer to separate checklists for Drainage Report, Letter of Map Revision (LOMR), and As-Built exhibits. This checklist provides a detailed outline of the information required for FMS and CLOMR exhibits; only submit information from each section as applicable to your project. Submitted plans must follow the requirements listed in the Land Development Plan Checklist, which is available upon request. If you have any questions about the requirements listed, or specific to your project, please contact SEMSWA.

City/County

Nu	mber	:	Case Number:
Ca Na	se me:		Submittal Date:
			EXHIBIT REQUIREMENTS
Gene	eral	Requi	irements for All Plans and Work Maps
Yes	No	N/A	Requirement
			1. 24" x 36" in size for SEMSWA, 22" x 34" for the County (22" x 34" also acceptable for SEMSWA when half size sets will be produced)
			2. Title block, legend, submittal date, and revisions, if applicable
			Engineer's Certification Statement, signed & stamped (Template at Engineers Floodplain Certification Statement)
A. D	rain	age F	Plan (Only if submitting new hydrology.)
			Show boundaries of entire development or project
			Existing or proposed streets, roadways, or highways
			3. Show limits of all major basins, including off-site basins where feasible
			4. Jurisdictional boundaries (Required)
			5. Topographic information
			6. Overlay or figure showing layout of Project Plan sheets
В. Р	roje	ct Gr	ading Plans
			Basin designations, design points, flow rates, volumes, release rates, consistent with City and/or County standards (Only if submitting new hydrology.)
			2. Scale 1" = 20' to 1" = 100', as required to show sufficient detail
			3. Vertical datum (e.g., NAVD88)
			4. Horizontal datum and spatial projection (e.g., NAD83 and State Plane)
			5. Date of aerial photography or survey



FMS & CLOMR EXHIBITS CHECKLIST

			6. Existing (dashed or screened) and proposed (solid) contours with a maximum contour interval of 2 feet. In terrain where the slope exceeds 15%, the maximum interval is 5 feet. Contours must extend a minimum of 100 feet beyond property lines. Include contour labels.
			7. Existing utilities and structures
			8. All jurisdictional and property boundaries and easements with type of easements noted
			Adjacent developments or ownerships
			 Location and elevation of all existing and proposed 100-year floodplain boundaries, including the source of designation. All floodplain designations that exist for the site should be included, i.e., FEMA FIS/FIRM, FHAD, and others.
			11 Summary Discharge Table, shown on the applicable plan sheet (Only if submitting new hydrology.)
C. C	_		n Floodplain Work Map (Required) MUST be submitted electronically as shapefiles or
1. Pa	ge Se	tup an	d Title Block
			A. Scale 1" = 20' to 1" = 100', as required to show sufficient detail
			B. Vertical datum (e.g., NAVD88)
Yes	No	N/A	Requirement
			C. Horizontal datum and spatial projection (e.g., NAD83 and State Plane)
			D. Date of aerial photography or survey
2. Re	feren	ce Dat	a a
			A. Existing (dashed or screened) and proposed (solid) contours with a maximum contour interval of 2 feet. In terrain where the slope exceeds 15%, the maximum interval is 5 feet. Contours must extend a minimum of 100 feet beyond property lines. Include contour labels.
			B. Jurisdictional boundaries
			C. Stream centerlines and stationing
			D. Existing or proposed streets, roadways, or highways
			E. Existing utilities and structures
			F. All property boundaries and easements (right-of-way) with type of easements noted
			G. Adjacent developments or ownerships
Condit	ions bo	oundarie	ia. (Include the source of each set of boundaries. The Corrected Effective or Existing Conditions, and Proposed es must extend upstream and downstream of all modifications to cross sections where an acceptable vertical tie-in daries of ≤0.5 ft. may be achieved.)
			A. Existing Conditions OR Corrected Effective OR Effective 100-year floodplain boundaries (Whichever reflects the final model prepared prior to including the proposed project.)
			B. Existing Conditions OR Corrected Effective OR Effective 500-year floodplain boundaries, as applicable (Whichever reflects the final model prepared prior to including the proposed project.)



FMS & CLOMR EXHIBITS CHECKLIST

			C. Existing Conditions OR Corrected Effective OR Effective 0.5-foot floodway boundaries, as applicable (Whichever reflects the final model prepared prior to including the proposed project.)				
			D. Proposed Conditions 100-year floodplain boundaries				
			E. Proposed Conditions 500-year floodplain boundaries				
			F. Proposed Conditions 0.5-foot floodway boundaries, as applicable				
			G. Cross section location and alignment, including ID and station				
			H. Base (100-year) water surface elevations, on a whole-foot interval				
			Upstream and downstream tie-in locations				
D. Existing Conditions Floodplain Work Map (If needed to provide a clear understanding of impacts, e.g., when there are Effective, Corrected Effective, and Existing Conditions models.) MUST be submitted electronically as shapefiles or geodatabase.							
1. Pa	ge Se	tup an	d Title Block				
			A. Scale 1" = 20' to 1" = 100', as required to show sufficient detail				
			B. Vertical datum (e.g., NAVD88)				
			C. Horizontal datum and spatial projection (e.g., NAD83 and State Plane)				
			D. Date of aerial photography or survey				
2. Re	feren	ce Data					
			A. Existing (solid) contours with a maximum contour interval of 2 feet. In terrain where the slope exceeds 15%, the maximum interval is 5 feet. Contours must extend a minimum of 100 feet beyond property lines. Include contour labels.				
			B. Jurisdictional boundaries				
			C. Stream centerlines and stationing				
			D. Existing or proposed streets, roadways, or highways				
			E. Existing utilities and structures				
			F. All property boundaries and easements (right-of-way) with type of easements noted				
			G. Adjacent developments or ownerships				
3. Floodplain Data. (Include the source of each set of boundaries. All floodplain boundaries that exist for the project area should be included, i.e., FIRM, FHAD, and others. The Corrected Effective and Existing Conditions boundaries must extend upstream and downstream of all modifications to cross sections where an acceptable vertical tie-in to the Effective boundaries of ≤0.5 ft. may be achieved.)							
			A. Effective 100-year floodplain boundaries				
			B. Effective 500-year floodplain boundaries, as applicable				
			C. Effective 0.5-foot floodway boundaries, as applicable				
Yes	No	N/A	Requirement				



FMS & CLOMR EXHIBITS CHECKLIST

	D. Corrected Effective 100-year floodplain boundaries, as applicable				
	E. Corrected Effective 500-year floodplain boundaries, as applicable				
	F. Corrected Effective 0.5-foot floodway boundaries, as applicable				
	G. Existing Conditions 100-year floodplain boundaries				
	H. Existing Conditions 500-year floodplain boundaries, as applicable				
	I. Existing Conditions 0.5-foot floodway boundaries, as applicable				
	J. Cross section location and alignment, including ID and station				
	K. Base (100-year) water surface elevations, on a whole-foot interval				
E. Annotated FIRM, As Applicable (Required for CLOMR.)					
	Size as needed to produce the map at the scale of the effective FIRM				
	2. Include secondary legend for annotations				
	3. Scale must match scale of effective FIRM				
	4. Show proposed 100-year floodplain boundaries, 0.5-foot floodway boundaries (as applicable), and 500-year floodplain boundaries, including the source. (Show proposed boundaries for all events shown on the FIRM; e.g., for detailed study, show the 100-year, floodway, and 500-year boundaries.)				
F. Annotated FIS Profile, As Applicable (Required for CLOMR)					
	Include secondary legend for annotations				
	2. Must be at the same vertical and horizontal scale as the effective profile(s)				
G. Annotated Floodway Data Table, As Applicable (Required for CLOMR)					
	Cross section IDs/stations must correlate to the naming and stationing shown on the topographic work maps and profiles.				